ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA276315 04/06/2009

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91189023
Party	Defendant Sarkis Avakian
Correspondence Address	MARK J. PANDISCIO PANDISCIO & PANDISCIO, P.C. 470 TOTTEN POND RD STE 4 WALTHAM, MA 02451-1933 mail@pandisciolaw.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Mark S. Puzella
Filer's e-mail	mpuzella@goodwinprocter.com, cteachout@goodwinprocter.com, tmadmin@goodwinprocter.com
Signature	/Mark S. Puzella/
Date	04/06/2009
Attachments	Answer.pdf ( 5 pages )(14521 bytes )

# UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL & APPEAL BOARD

	)		
WHATABURGER PARTNERSHIP	)		
	)	Mark:	WHATTA WING!
Opposer,	)	Serial No.:	77/494,179
	)	Published:	11/04/2008
v.	)		
	)		
SARKIS AVAKIAN	)		
	)	Opposition N	No. 91189023
Applicant.	)		
	)		
	)		

#### **ANSWER**

Applicant Sarkis Avakian ("Applicant") for its Answer to the Notice of Opposition filed by Whataburger Partnership ("Opposer") in the above-captioned matter response a follows:

- 1. Applicant states that Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition refers to documents which speak for themselves. Applicant is otherwise without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.
- 2. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.
- 3. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.

- 4. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.
- 5. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.
- 6. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.
- 7. Applicant states that Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition refers to documents which speak for themselves. Otherwise, Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.
- 8. Applicant states that Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition refers to documents which speak for themselves. Otherwise, Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 8, and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.
- 9. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies same and calls for strict proof thereof.

10. Applicant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief

as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 10, and therefore denies same and calls

for strict proof thereof.

11. Applicant admits that he uses and has applied to register the Trademark

WHATTA WING! in connection with restaurant services.

12. Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition states a legal conclusion to

which no response is required. To the extent that Paragraph 12 states factual allegations or

otherwise requires a response, Applicant denies each and every allegation therein.

13. Paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition states a legal conclusion to

which no response is required. To the extent that Paragraph 13 states factual allegations or

otherwise requires a response, Applicant denies each and every allegation therein.

14. Paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition states a legal conclusion to

which no response is required. To the extent that Paragraph 14 states factual allegations or

otherwise requires a response, Applicant denies each and every allegation therein.

15. Paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition states a legal conclusion to

which no response is required. To the extent that Paragraph 15 states factual allegations or

otherwise requires a response, Applicant denies each and every allegation therein.

16. Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition states a legal conclusion to

which no response is required. To the extent that Paragraph 15 states factual allegations or

otherwise requires a response, Applicant denies each and every allegation therein.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. Opposer's request for relief is barred by the affirmative defense of unclean

hands.

2. Opposer's request for relief is barred by the affirmative defense of

equitable estoppel.

3. Opposer's request for relief is barred by the affirmative defense of waiver.

4. Opposer's request for relief is barred for lack of standing.

5. Opposer's request for relief is barred because Opposer fails to state claim

upon which relief can be granted.

Applicant hereby reserves its right to assert any and all other defenses as appropriate as

they present themselves through the course of this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Sarkis Avakian

By his Attorneys,

Dated: April 6, 2009

/Mark S. Puzella/\_

Mark S. Puzella Robert M. O'Connell, Jr.

Chelsea Teachout

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

**Exchange Place** 

53 State Street

Boston, MA 02109-2881

Tel: 617/570-1000 Fax: 617/523-1231

tmadmin@goodwinprocter.com

Answer

Serial No. 77/494,179 Mark: WHATTA WING! Opposer: Whataburger Partnership

Page 5

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was served on April 6, 2009, upon counsel of record for Applicant by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

Christopher Kelley Wiley Rein LLP 1776 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006

/Mark S. Puzella/	

LIBA/1984632.2